

Lisa F. Watkins

From: Kevin Walsh <kwalsh@syrwcd.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2022 5:10 PM
To: Lisa F. Watkins
Cc: Paeter Garcia; 'roseh@cityofbuellton.com'; Steve Torigiani
Subject: April 28, 2022 CCWA Board Meeting

This is email is meant to comply with RAS instructions below.
Please distribute this e-mail and attachments to the Board of Directors.
RE: Agenda Items II and VI.D

Board of Directors:

You should be aware that the CCWA and USBR Temporary Warren Act contract may contain new and additional restrictions on the commingling or mixing of CCWA's State Water Project (SWP) deliveries to the Cachuma Project with Downstream Water Rights releases including a prohibition on mixing in November. Any such restrictions have been developed without consultation with the Parent District or other downstream interests. The restrictions would adversely affect Improvement District No. 1 and Buellton, which are CCWA members, as well as Solvang, Lompoc, and all pumpers in the Santa Ynez River alluvium. We often extend the downstream water rights releases November. November downstream releases were needed in 2007, 2010, 2013, 2017, and 2020. (An example for 2020 is attached. The other years are similarly documented.)

An agreement by CCWA to such restrictions is tantamount to negotiating away the right of the downstream water rights holders and water users to receive high quality affordable water. The mixing of higher quality SWP supplies with Downstream Water Rights releases is a fundamental part of the 2002 Settlement Agreement and State Water Resources Control Board Order (SWRCB 2019-0148), which were developed and approved in order to avoid or otherwise mitigate downstream water quality impairment by the Cachuma Project. The proposed new restrictions are contrary to the Settlement Agreement and SWRCB 2019-0148, as well as the existing 2000 Biological Opinion. From the attached letter, you will note that mitigation of the water quality impairment caused by the Cachuma Project as provided in the Settlement Agreement and SWRCB 2019-0148 was an important key issue that needed to be addressed in allowing continued out of watershed diversions by the Cachuma Project to the South Coast.

Any such changes to downstream releases (including prohibition on mixing such releases with CCWA's SWP deliveries) will likely require an Environmental Impact Report under CEQA to evaluate and disclose the adverse environmental impacts on downstream water users and environmental resources resulting from the changes, and to consider and impose appropriate mitigation for the resulting potentially significant adverse environmental impacts. The Parent District does not believe that such changes can or should be made without environmental review in light of the fact that there is at least a reasonable possibility that the changes will have significant adverse environmental effects due to the unique circumstances described in our attached letter (among other reasons).

There may be other solutions, which we can discuss if you so desire.

SANTA YNEZ RIVER WATER
CONSERVATION DISTRICT
P.O. Box 719
3669 Sagunto Street, Suite 101
Santa Ynez, CA 93460
(805) 693-1156, ext. 409

From: Ray Stokes <RAS@ccwa.com>
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2022 4:09 PM
To: Kevin Walsh <kwalsh@syrwcd.com>
Cc: Ray Stokes <RAS@ccwa.com>
Subject: RE: Phone message on CCWA Board Meeting

Kevin: Here's the info on our agenda for submitting public comments, which would include your letter.

Public Comment on agenda items may occur via video call or telephonically, or by submission to the Board Secretary via email at lfw@ccwa.com no later than 8:00 a.m. on the day of the meeting. In your email, please specify (1) the meeting date and agenda item (number and title) on which you are providing a comment and (2) that you would like your comment read into the record during the meeting. If you would like your comment read into the record during the meeting (as either general public comment or on a specific agenda item), please limit your comments to no more than 250 words.

Ray A. Stokes
Executive Director
Central Coast Water Authority
255 Industrial Way
Buellton, CA 93427
Cell: 805-698-5923
Office: 805-697-5214

DIRECTORS:

DIVISION 1
CYNTHIA ALLEN, President
Lompoc

DIVISION 2
STEPHEN E. JORDAN
Lompoc

DIVISION 3
MARK ALTSHULER
Vandenberg Village - Mission
Hills

DIVISION 4
ART HIBBITS
Buellton - Lompoc

DIVISION 5
J. BRETT MARYMEE
Solvang - Santa Ynez

Santa Ynez River

WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

P.O. Box 719 - 3669 Sagunto Street, Suite 101
Santa Ynez, California 93460
Telephone: (805) 693-1156
FAX: (805) 693-4607

GENERAL MANAGER:

KEVIN D. WALSH

SECRETARY:

AMBER M. THOMPSON

TREASURER:

WILLIAM J. BUELOW, PG

CONSULTANTS:

STEVE TORIGIANI
General Counsel

STETSON ENGINEERS
Engineer

April 22, 2022

Via Mail and Email

Rain L. Emerson, M.S.
Environmental Compliance Branch Chief
Bureau of Reclamation
Interior Region 10 - California-Great Basin
South-Central California Area Office
1243 N Street
Fresno, CA 93727
remerson@usbr.gov

Re: Parent District Comments on Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) for Central Coast Water Authority (CCWA) Temporary Warren Act Contract [CGB-EA-2022-023]

The Santa Ynez River Water Conservation District (Parent District) submits the following comments on the above-referenced EA for the CCWA Temporary Warren Act Contract (Project). The Parent District just learned of this EA and associated Biological Evaluation (BE) yesterday as they were included as part of CCWA's Board Packet¹ for its April 28, 2022 Board meeting. The Parent District is disappointed that it was not advised of the EA being out for public review and comment by the United States Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation), and also notes that the BE is not referred to in the EA and has not been made publicly available by Reclamation during the EA comment period or otherwise. Be that as it may, as explained below, the Project appears to include additional restrictions on the mixing of CCWA's SWP supplies with downstream water rights releases contrary to the 2002 Settlement Agreement, the 2000 Biological Opinion

¹ Available at: <https://www.ccwa.com/files/acb8a110f/BoardPacket04282022.pdf>.

(Biological Opinion), WRO 2019-0148², and Reclamation's Cachuma Project water rights permits. As you know, mixing is critical to ensuring that the Cachuma Project is not impairing downstream water quality. There is no evidence that exclusion of November from the months in which mixing can occur – even when the flow is discontinuous in the mainstem, among other restrictions, is warranted³, and imposing such additional restrictions through the EA does not follow required procedures. Any modification to the Biological Opinion to add restrictions on mixing should be evaluated as part of the ongoing formal reinitiation of consultation – not by de facto amendment.⁴ If further pursued, any additional unmitigated restrictions on mixing should be discussed with relevant stakeholders and evaluated as part of the ongoing consultation before being approved or implemented.

The Parent District covers approximately 180,000 acres, principally downstream of the Cachuma Project. The Parent District's constituents rely upon regular water rights releases being made from the Project's Bradbury Dam of sufficient quality and quantity to serve downstream beneficial uses, which include agricultural and domestic users of Santa Ynez River water. Such releases replenish downstream alluvial aquifers and groundwater basins. The Parent District's constituents include Santa Ynez River Water Conservation District, Improvement District No. 1, the cities of Solvang, Buellton and Lompoc, and various communities. The City of Lompoc, in particular, consists of various disadvantaged communities, who rely on Santa Ynez River releases as their sole source of supply and replenishment. The City of Lompoc previously raised claims with the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) regarding the Cachuma Project's impairment of downstream water quality.

On December 17, 2002, the Cachuma Conservation Release Board (CCRB), the Parent District, Improvement District No. 1 and the City of Lompoc entered into a Settlement Agreement relating to the operation of the Cachuma Project (Cachuma Project Settlement Agreement). The Cachuma Project Settlement Agreement resolved 50 years of disputes relative to operation of the Project, including litigation and claims regarding downstream water quality impacts raised by the City of Lompoc. The Cachuma Project Settlement Agreement's provisions are incorporated by reference, discussed in, and attached as Appendix 2 to the State Water Board's WR Order 2019-0148 (WRO 2019-1048 or Order) In the Matter of Permits 11308 and 11310 (Applications 11331 and 11332) held by the United States, Bureau of Reclamation for the Cachuma Project on the Santa Ynez River. (Order, §§ 6.1-6.5, pp. 100-110.)

As explained in WRO 2019-0148 (Order, § 6.2.1, pp. 102-103), the following recited provision (Subparagraph 1.5 – Deliveries During Releases) of the Settlement Agreement, which

² Available at: https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/hearings/cachuma/docs/wro2019_0148_withagreement_final.pdf

³ In fact, the EA indicates that per the draft 2016 biological opinion additional restrictions on mixing do not appear to be necessary: "*the effects of... Central Coast Water Authority state water project deliveries and releases are expected to be avoided by measures that are currently in place and are expected to continue.*" (Board Packet, pdf p. 106; BE, p. 12.)

⁴ U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service and NMFS, Consultation Handbook (March 1998 Final), p. 4-63, 64; 50 CFR §402.16.

provides for commingling of SWP water imported by CCWA, is a key component of resolving the City of Lompoc's Cachuma Project water quality concerns, returning the groundwater quality in the Lompoc Plain Groundwater Basin to a no project condition, and avoiding impairment to senior groundwater rights:

"The parties to this Agreement will, as provided in Exhibit D, make best efforts to maximize the delivery by ... [CCWA of SWP] water with lower concentrations of total dissolved solids ('TDS') into the outlet works at Bradbury Dam during WR 89-18 water rights releases consistent with the NMFS BO. This will be accomplished through the commingling of SWP water with WR 89-18 water rights releases in the Outlet Works at Bradbury Dam when downstream water rights releases are being made. Generally, SWP deliveries by CCWA are of lower TDS concentrations compared to water releases from Lake Cachuma under WR 89-18. The objective of such commingling operations is to maximize the delivery of SWP Water to lower the TDS in the lower Santa Ynez River and at the Narrows. Such coordinated program shall be carried out as set forth in Exhibit "D" hereto." (Emphasis added.)

Reclamation approved of and supported the Cachuma Project Settlement Agreement in the WRO 2019-0148 proceedings as a way of resolving 50 years of disputes between the Cachuma Project Member Units and the downstream parties, including the Parent District and the City of Lompoc, with respect to the operation of the Project. This included disputes relating to water rights and water quality issues among them, including key hearing issues 4, 5 and 6, and resolution of the City of Lompoc's litigation and claims regarding the Project's injury to its senior water rights including water quality impairment caused by the Project. (Order, p. 100.)

Key Hearing Issue 4 was:

"Has any senior, legal user of water been injured due to changes in water quality resulting from the operation of the Cachuma Project? (Order p. 101.)"

According to the Order, the City of Lompoc owns 9 domestic wells providing the sole source of water to 39,000 people including disadvantaged communities. (*Ibid.*) Lompoc asserted that historic operation of the Cachuma Project impaired the water quality in the groundwater basin in such a manner as to injure the city's senior downstream water rights. (*Ibid.*) In WRO 2019-0148, the State Water Board concluded that under the current operating regime under the **2000 Biological Opinion**, "which includes the **downstream water rights releases as required by Order WR 89-18 and the commingling of SWP water that is imported by the CCWA**, the groundwater quality in the eastern portion of the Lompoc Plain Groundwater Basin will return to a no project condition, and should ensure that the Cachuma Project does not impair the City of Lompoc's senior groundwater rights." (Order, pp. 102-103, emphasis added.)

The State Water Board found that "operation of the Cachuma Project in accordance with the Settlement Agreement will protect senior water right holders from injury due to either changes in **water quality** or a reduction in the quantity of water available to serve prior rights." (Order, p.

109, emphasis added.) The State Water Board further found that “Reclamation should operate the Cachuma Project pursuant to the new accounting, monitoring, and operating procedure set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and the Permits should be amended as proposed by Reclamation and agreed to by the parties to the agreement.” (*Ibid.*) In making these findings, the State Water Board recognized that the 2000 Biological Opinion (Biological Opinion) limited the amount of SWP water that can be “mixed” and referenced the only other restrictions related to the delivery of SWP water as stated in the Final EIR for the Order, as follows:

“2.4.4.3 Restrictions of State Water Project Releases

The Biological Assessment described restrictions on the delivery of SWP water to the reservoir. SWP water will not exceed 50 percent of the amount of water released from Bradbury Dam at any given time. In addition, SWP water will not enter the stilling basis with a temperature over 18 degrees Celsius. Finally, the Biological Opinion requires that releases of SWP water to the mainstem in conjunction with water rights and fish enhancement releases shall not occur during the migration period of **December through June, unless flow in the mainstem is discontinuous**. This requirement has been met since 2001. (Order, p. 102, fn. 65; FEIR, Vol. II⁵, p. 2.0-38, emphasis added.)

In contrast to the above, the Project adds significant additional restrictions on mixing of SWP deliveries with downstream water rights releases. In particular, the EA adds the following restrictions on CCWA deliveries through the Bradbury Dam outlet works:

“Releases of CCWA water to the mainstem only occurs during water right releases from **May to October**, with the bulk of releases occurring July through September;” (EA, p. 4, emphasis added.)

These additional restrictions are not part of the Biological Opinion’s reasonable and prudent measures, and they are not referenced in the Settlement Agreement or WRO 2019-0148. Neither the EA nor any other relevant document, to our knowledge, evaluates the need for such additional restrictions on mixing. Presently, there is no limit on mixing during any particular months whatsoever when the mainstem flow is discontinuous, and when it is not discontinuous mixing can still occur in **November**, as is sometimes necessary and as may be necessary more often in the future due to climate change.

The Parent District was not consulted regarding the need for these additional unmitigated mixing restrictions, which represent a significant departure from the baseline and will cause water quality impacts to the Parent District’s constituents, including the City of Lompoc and its disadvantaged citizens. These additional restrictions are conflict with the Biological Opinion and WRO 2019-0148, including its underlying environmental review and the State Water Board’s

⁵ Available at: https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/hearings/cachuma/feir/cachuma_feir_vol2.pdf.

conclusions regarding water quality impacts of the Project meant to be addressed by the Cachuma Project Settlement Agreement.

If these additional restrictions are not removed the Project, they represent a new impairment to water quality and possible injury to downstream water rights. To our knowledge, no evaluation whatsoever of the environmental impacts of adding such additional restrictions and making associated changes to Project's release operations has been performed, as would be necessary for the Project to comply with NEPA and CEQA, as applicable. CCWA's approval of the Project, along with the significant new additional unmitigated restrictions on mixing, would represent a substantial change to existing conditions and constitute a Project as defined by CEQA that may result in significant water quality impacts to downstream resources including groundwater in the Lompoc Plain Groundwater Basin; thus, a mitigated negative declaration or environmental impact report (or subsequent or supplemental EIR) would be required prior to consideration of Project approval. (Public Resources Code, § 21065; Cal Code Regs, § 15064.)

For the above reasons, the Parent District urges that Reclamation delete the additional restrictions on mixing from the Project. The Parent District does not support the Project with such additional restrictions for the reasons expressed herein. These additional restrictions will likely degrade water quality conditions downstream, without any environmental analysis (or mitigation) and at the worst possible time – during a multi-year drought emergency. All the while, downstream GSAs have to comply with SGMA including avoidance of undesirable results including significant and unreasonable degraded water quality. The Parent District also fears that modifying Cachuma Project operations so as to impair downstream water quality and possibly injure downstream water rights, contrary to the Cachuma Project Settlement Agreement, the Biological Opinion, WRO 2019-1048 and **Reclamation's water rights permits**, presents a significant risk of resumption of litigation and/or regulatory or administrative proceedings regarding the Project's impact on downstream water quality and water rights. This would be very unfortunate after decades were spent resolving disputes regarding Cachuma Project operations and on development of appropriate downstream release permits terms and conditions.

The Parent District recognizes the importance of and supports CCWA obtaining a temporary Warren Act Contract and has no desire to obstruct, complicate or delay that worthy endeavor. The simple solution here is to delete the additional restrictions on mixing from the Project, and if Reclamation desires to pursue them further, they should be considered and evaluated as part of the ongoing reinitiation of consultation as required by the federal Endangered Species Act.

Sincerely,



Kevin Walsh
General Manager

Ms. Rain L. Emerson, M.S.
April 22, 2022
Page 6

Cc: (Email only)

CCWA
Attn: Ray Stokes
RAS@ccwa.com

Ernest A. Conant, USBR, Regional Director
econant@usbr.gov

Michael Jackson, P.E., USBR, Area Manager
mjackson@usbr.gov

City of Lompoc
Attn: Kristin Worthley
kworthley@ci.lompoc.ca.us

City of Buellton
Attn: Rose Hess
roseh@citybuellton.com

City of Solvang
Attn: Xenia Bradford
xeniab@cityofsolvang.com

Improvement Dist. No. 1
Attn: Paeter Garcia
pgarcia@syrwd.org

**Table D-1. 2020 Water Rights Releases (WRR)
Through Bradbury Dam Outlet Works**

(Start Time)	USBR Date of Record ^a (End Time)	USBR Release acre-feet	USBR Release cfs	Notes
8/31/2020 8:00	9/1/2020 8:00	131.0	66.0	First day
9/1/2020 8:00	9/2/2020 8:00	288.6	145.5	
9/2/2020 8:00	9/3/2020 8:00	291.5	147.0	
9/3/2020 8:00	9/4/2020 8:00	296.5	149.5	
9/4/2020 8:00	9/5/2020 8:00	297.5	150.0	
9/5/2020 8:00	9/6/2020 8:00	303.5	153.0	
9/6/2020 8:00	9/7/2020 8:00	291.4	146.9	
9/7/2020 8:00	9/8/2020 8:00	302.4	152.5	
9/8/2020 8:00	9/9/2020 8:00	294.4	148.4	
9/9/2020 8:00	9/10/2020 8:00	297.4	149.9	
9/10/2020 8:00	9/11/2020 8:00	295.4	148.9	
9/11/2020 8:00	9/12/2020 8:00	236.3	119.1	Changes in release: 150 to 100 cfs
9/12/2020 8:00	9/13/2020 8:00	199.3	100.5	
9/13/2020 8:00	9/14/2020 8:00	187.3	94.4	
9/14/2020 8:00	9/15/2020 8:00	172.3	86.9	
9/15/2020 8:00	9/16/2020 8:00	160.2	80.8	Changes in release: 100 to 80 cfs
9/16/2020 8:00	9/17/2020 8:00	157.3	79.3	
9/17/2020 8:00	9/18/2020 8:00	152.2	76.7	Changes in release: 80 to 75 cfs
9/18/2020 8:00	9/19/2020 8:00	150.2	75.7	
9/19/2020 8:00	9/20/2020 8:00	151.2	76.2	
9/20/2020 8:00	9/21/2020 8:00	150.2	75.7	
9/21/2020 8:00	9/22/2020 8:00	151.2	76.2	
9/22/2020 8:00	9/23/2020 8:00	131.1	66.1	Changes in release: 75 to 55 cfs
9/23/2020 8:00	9/24/2020 8:00	120.1	60.6	
9/24/2020 8:00	9/25/2020 8:00	114.1	57.5	
9/25/2020 8:00	9/26/2020 8:00	102.1	51.5	
9/26/2020 8:00	9/27/2020 8:00	104.2	52.5	
9/27/2020 8:00	9/28/2020 8:00	108.1	54.5	
9/28/2020 8:00	9/29/2020 8:00	111.1	56.0	
9/29/2020 8:00	9/30/2020 8:00	112.1	56.5	
9/30/2020 8:00	10/1/2020 8:00	110.1	55.5	
10/1/2020 8:00	10/2/2020 8:00	110.1	55.5	
10/2/2020 8:00	10/3/2020 8:00	110.0	55.5	
10/3/2020 8:00	10/4/2020 8:00	111.0	56.0	
10/4/2020 8:00	10/5/2020 8:00	103.0	51.9	Changes in release: 55 to 40 cfs
10/5/2020 8:00	10/6/2020 8:00	85.0	42.9	
10/6/2020 8:00	10/7/2020 8:00	80.0	40.3	
10/7/2020 8:00	10/8/2020 8:00	83.0	41.8	
10/8/2020 8:00	10/9/2020 8:00	80.0	40.3	
10/9/2020 8:00	10/10/2020 8:00	80.9	40.8	
10/10/2020 8:00	10/11/2020 8:00	80.9	40.8	
10/11/2020 8:00	10/12/2020 8:00	80.9	40.8	
10/12/2020 8:00	10/13/2020 8:00	80.9	40.8	
10/13/2020 8:00	10/14/2020 8:00	80.9	40.8	
10/14/2020 8:00	10/15/2020 8:00	79.8	40.2	
10/15/2020 8:00	10/16/2020 8:00	81.9	41.3	
10/16/2020 8:00	10/17/2020 8:00	97.8	49.3	Changes in release: 40 to 50 cfs

**Table D-1. 2020 Water Rights Releases (WRR)
Through Bradbury Dam Outlet Works**

(Start Time)	USBR Date of Record^a (End Time)	USBR Release acre-feet	USBR Release cfs	Notes
10/17/2020 8:00	10/18/2020 8:00	100.8	50.8	
10/18/2020 8:00	10/19/2020 8:00	100.8	50.8	
10/19/2020 8:00	10/20/2020 8:00	101.8	51.3	
10/20/2020 8:00	10/21/2020 8:00	99.8	50.3	
10/21/2020 8:00	10/22/2020 8:00	100.8	50.8	
10/22/2020 8:00	10/23/2020 8:00	109.8	55.4	Changes in release: 50 to 55 cfs
10/23/2020 8:00	10/24/2020 8:00	112.8	56.9	
10/24/2020 8:00	10/25/2020 8:00	111.8	56.4	
10/25/2020 8:00	10/26/2020 8:00	110.7	55.8	
10/26/2020 8:00	10/27/2020 8:00	111.7	56.3	
10/27/2020 8:00	10/28/2020 8:00	100.7	50.8	Changes in release: 55 to 40 cfs
10/28/2020 8:00	10/29/2020 8:00	89.7	45.2	
10/29/2020 8:00	10/30/2020 8:00	80.7	40.7	
10/30/2020 8:00	10/31/2020 8:00	80.7	40.7	
10/31/2020 8:00	11/1/2020 8:00	85.1	42.9	
11/1/2020 8:00	11/2/2020 8:00	80.6	40.6	
11/2/2020 8:00	11/3/2020 8:00	72.6	36.6	Changes in release: 40 to 35 cfs
11/3/2020 8:00	11/4/2020 8:00	70.6	35.6	
11/4/2020 8:00	11/5/2020 8:00	70.6	35.6	
11/5/2020 8:00	11/6/2020 8:00	70.6	35.6	
11/6/2020 8:00	11/7/2020 8:00	54.6	27.5	Changes in release: 35 to 25 cfs
11/7/2020 8:00	11/8/2020 8:00	50.5	25.5	
11/8/2020 8:00	11/9/2020 8:00	51.5	26.0	
11/9/2020 8:00	11/10/2020 8:00	50.5	25.5	
11/10/2020 8:00	11/11/2020 8:00	42.5	21.4	Changes in release: 25 to 20 cfs
11/11/2020 8:00	11/12/2020 8:00	41.5	20.9	
11/12/2020 8:00	11/13/2020 8:00	41.5	20.9	
11/13/2020 8:00	11/14/2020 8:00	40.5	20.4	
11/14/2020 8:00	11/15/2020 8:00	41.5	20.9	
11/15/2020 8:00	11/16/2020 8:00	47.5	23.9	Changes in release: 20 to 30 cfs
11/16/2020 8:00	11/17/2020 8:00	61.5	31.0	
11/17/2020 8:00	11/18/2020 8:00	61.5	31.0	
11/18/2020 8:00	11/19/2020 8:00	61.5	31.0	
11/19/2020 8:00	11/20/2020 8:00	60.5	30.5	
11/20/2020 8:00	11/21/2020 8:00	59.5	30.0	
11/21/2020 8:00	11/22/2020 8:00	61.5	31.0	
11/22/2020 8:00	11/23/2020 8:00	61.5	31.0	
11/23/2020 8:00	11/24/2020 8:00	60.5	30.5	
11/24/2020 8:00	11/25/2020 8:00	59.5	30.0	
11/25/2020 8:00	11/26/2020 8:00	51.5	26.0	Changes in release: 30 to 25 cfs
11/26/2020 8:00	11/27/2020 8:00	49.5	25.0	
11/27/2020 8:00	11/28/2020 8:00	32.5	16.4	Start ramp down to fish flows on 11/27
11/28/2020 8:00	11/29/2020 8:00	26.5	13.4	
11/29/2020 8:00	11/30/2020 8:00	26.5	13.4	
11/30/2020 8:00	12/1/2020 8:00	25.0	12.6	End WRR on 12/1; continue releases for fish at 8 cfs
Total Water Rights Releases		10,480 AF		

(Aug 31 - Dec 1) a) USBR Date of Record is from 8:00AM previous day to 8:00AM current day.